

Extending the class of quantum states that do not allow local realistic description

Wiesław Laskowski,¹ Tomasz Paterek,¹ and Marek Żukowski¹

¹*Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej i Astrofizyki Uniwersytet Gdański, PL-80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

We present the necessary and sufficient condition for the violation of a new series of multipartite Bell's inequalities with many measurement settings.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a

Since the trailblazing paper of Greenberger, Horne and Zeilinger [1] we witness an explosion of interest in multiqubit correlations. It was shown in [1] that three or more qubit correlations can lead to much more drastic invalidation of the concepts of Einstein, Podolski and Rosen [2], that is, to a far much stronger version of the Bell theorem than in two qubit correlations.

The original GHZ paper presented a version of Bell's theorem that does not involve inequalities. However it was very quickly noticed by Mermin [3] that Bell inequalities are needed for an efficient analysis of the experimental data. Series of multiqubit Bell inequalities involving two settings for each party were proposed [3]. The inequalities reveal exponentially growing, with the number of qubits, discrepancy of quantum mechanical predictions with any local realistic, or if one likes local variable, theories.

However it was soon noticed, that in the case of four qubits or more, the inequalities involving more than two settings for each observer lead to even more drastic discrepancies [4, 5]. Further, it was recently shown that two settings per observation site inequalities for correlation functions (to be called later "standard" ones) in the case of some pure states are totally inefficient in showing the conflict between local realism and quantum mechanics [6, 7]. What is even more important, this statement is true even for the case of the full set of correlation function Bell inequalities for two settings per observer [8, 9, 10].

Recently new series of multipartite Bell's inequalities were derived [11, 12]. Parties now can choose between more than two alternative dichotomic observables to measure. The new inequalities are generalizations of the standard inequalities [8, 9, 10]. It is interesting whether the recent inequalities possess the properties of the standard inequalities [10]. In [10] it was shown that one can derive a compact criterion which discriminates whether a given quantum state is able to violate any of the standard inequalities. This criterion involves the correlation tensor. Can we build a necessary and sufficient condition for violation of the new inequalities [11, 12] in terms of the correlation tensor?

Here we give an answer to this question. We derive necessary and sufficient condition for violation of the new inequalities and conclude from it that the new inequalities give more stringent constraints on local realistic description of quantum predictions than the standard ones. We also show that there are states which satisfy the standard inequalities and violate the new inequalities.

We shall present now a method to derive the conditions that must be satisfied by multiqubit density matrices, so that the quantum predictions can violate the inequalities belonging to the new, multisetting, family.

I. $4 \times 4 \times 2$ INEQUALITIES

For the sake of simplicity we shall start with the case where two parties can choose between four observables, $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{A}_3, \hat{A}_4$ and $\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2, \hat{B}_3, \hat{B}_4$, and the third one between two, $\hat{C}_1, \hat{C}_2$. We denote such a family of inequalities as a $4 \times 4 \times 2$ one.

Let us denote by $A_1, \dots, A_4, B_1, \dots, B_4$ and C_1, C_2 the hypothetical local realistic values that would be obtained by the respective local observers if they choose, for a given run of the experiment, one of the allowed observables. The values A are for the first observer, B for the second one and C for the last one. The following relations were shown in [10] to hold for the first two observers

$$A_{12,12;S'} = \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S'(s_1, s_2)(A_1 + s_1 A_2)(B_1 + s_2 B_2) = \pm 4, \quad (1)$$

where $S' = \pm 1$ and it is an arbitrary "sign" function of the indices $s_1, s_2 = \pm 1$. Similarly one has

$$A_{34,34;S''} = \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S''(s_1, s_2)(A_3 + s_1 A_4)(B_3 + s_2 B_4) = \pm 4. \quad (2)$$

Finally it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S(s_1, s_2)(A_{12,12;S'} + s_1 A_{34,34;S''})(C_1 + s_2 C_2) = \pm 16, \quad (3)$$

where again $S(s_1, s_2)$ is a sign function. This is the algebraic identity which generates a family of Bell inequalities of the type derived in [12].

If one averages the identity over the runs of the experiment, and introduces the Bell-GHZ correlation functions, $E_{klm} = \langle A_k B_l C_m \rangle_{avg}$, the set of the new inequalities emerge. However, it is easy to notice that if $S(s_1, s_2) = S_1(s_1)S_2(s_2)$, where S_i are again sign functions, the identity (3) reduces to the one which cannot lead to Bell's inequalities which are interesting in the case of the three qubit correlations. This is because $\sum_{s_2 = \pm 1} S_2(s_2)(C_1 + s_2 C_2) = \pm 2C_1$ or $\pm 2C_2$, i.e. one has effectively no choice of observables for the third party.

There is only one type of sign function $S(s_1, s_2)$ which gives non trivial new Bell inequalities. One must put one value of $S(s_1, s_2)$ different than other three values, e.g. $S(+1, +1) = S(+1, -1) = S(-1, +1) = -S(-1, -1)$. For other choices of values the sign function is factorable. With the above choice and $S(+1, +1) = 1$, for the given run of the experiment expression (3) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S'(s_1, s_2)(A_1 + s_1 A_2)(B_1 + s_2 B_2)(C_1 + C_2) \\ & + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S''(s_1, s_2)(A_3 + s_1 A_4)(B_3 + s_2 B_4)(C_1 - C_2) = \pm 8. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

After averaging over many runs of the experiment we get a set of Bell inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \sum_{k=1,2} \sum_{l=1,2} \sum_{m=1,2} S'(s_1, s_2) s_1^{k-1} s_2^{l-1} E_{klm} \right| \\ & \left| + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \sum_{k=3,4} \sum_{l=3,4} \sum_{m=1,2} S''(s_1, s_2) s_1^{k-1} s_2^{l-1} (-1)^{m-1} E_{klm} \right| \leq 8. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

There are as many Bell inequalities as different sign functions S' and S'' . The moduli emerge in (5) once one realizes that the replacement of S' by $-S'$ and S'' by $-S''$ is always possible.

An interesting observation is that the above set of Bell inequalities is equivalent to a *single* inequality of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{k=1,2} \sum_{l=1,2} \sum_{m=1,2} s_1^{k-1} s_2^{l-1} E_{klm} \right| \\ & + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{k=3,4} \sum_{l=3,4} \sum_{m=1,2} s_1^{k-1} s_2^{l-1} (-1)^{m-1} E_{klm} \right| \leq 8. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This is because the S' and S'' functions can always be such that their sign is opposite to the one of the expression in front of them (in other words we have the simple fact that $|a \pm b| \leq c$ if and only if $|a| + |b| \leq c$). This makes the analysis much more simpler. Instead of considering a very vast family of linear inequalities, one deals with just one, which is non-linear. A similar property has also the full set of standard Bell inequalities [10].

II. VIOLATION OF THE $4 \times 4 \times 2$ INEQUALITY

The product $\langle A_k B_l C_m \rangle_{avg}$ defines the correlation function E_{klm} . In the case of quantum correlation functions these can be expressed in form of the scalar product of the correlation tensor $\hat{T}$ with the tensor product of the local measurement settings (represented by a unit vector), i.e. $E_{klm} = \hat{T} \circ (\vec{n}_{A_k} \otimes \vec{n}_{B_l} \otimes \vec{n}_{C_m})$. Therefore, in the quantum case, the inequality (6) can be re-expressed in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \hat{T} \circ (\vec{n}_{A_1} + s_1 \vec{n}_{A_2}) \otimes (\vec{n}_{B_1} + s_2 \vec{n}_{B_2}) \otimes (\vec{n}_{C_1} + \vec{n}_{C_2}) \right| \\ & + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \hat{T} \circ (\vec{n}_{A_3} + s_1 \vec{n}_{A_4}) \otimes (\vec{n}_{B_3} + s_2 \vec{n}_{B_4}) \otimes (\vec{n}_{C_1} - \vec{n}_{C_2}) \right| \leq 8, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\vec{n}_{X_i}$ denotes parameters the values of which define local observable i in the laboratory X ($X = \{A, B, C\}$).

Let us put:

$$\vec{n}_{A_1} + s_1 \vec{n}_{A_2} = 2a_{s_1} \vec{A}(s_1), \quad (8)$$

where

$$a_+^2 + a_-^2 = 1, \quad (9)$$

and the vectors $\vec{A}(\pm)$ are of unit norm. We shall generally omit 1 when using $s = \pm 1$ as an index numbering specific variables. One can easily show that

$$\vec{A}(+) \circ \vec{A}(-) = 0. \quad (10)$$

We introduce analogical vectors $\vec{B}(\pm)$ and $\vec{C}(\pm)$ for the other pairs of observables. Using these new local vectors one can write (7) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} |a_{s_1} b_{s_2} c_+ \hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(+))| \\ & + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} |a'_{s_1} b'_{s_2} c_- \hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}'(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}'(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(-))| \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since $c_+^2 + c_-^2 = 1$, i.e. (c_+, c_-) is a unit vector, using the Cauchy inequality one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} (\hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(+)))^2 \\ & + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} (\hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}'(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}'(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(-)))^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Therefore, if for any set of local coordinate systems the three particle correlation function satisfies above inequality, then it also satisfies (5). That is, the above condition is sufficient for Bell inequalities (5) to hold. The negation of this condition is necessary for violation of the inequalities (5).

Let us introduce a simpler notation. Note that $\hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(+))$ is a component of the tensor $\hat{T}$ with respect to local Cartesian coordinate system with two axes along the vectors $\vec{A}(\pm)$ for the first observer, $\vec{B}(\pm)$ for the second one, and $\vec{C}(\pm)$ for the third one. $\hat{T} \circ (\vec{A}'(s_1) \otimes \vec{B}'(s_2) \otimes \vec{C}(-))$ has the same property. What is very interesting, and contrasts the standard case [10], the components of $\hat{T}$ can now be taken with respect to a different local coordinate systems for the first two observers, namely with axes along $\vec{A}'(\pm)$ and $\vec{B}'(\pm)$. Thus a concise way to write the condition would be that

$$\sum_{k, l=1, 2} T_{kl2}^2 + \sum_{k', l'=3, 4} T_{k'l'1}^2 \leq 1. \quad (13)$$

where primes in the second term denote the fact that the coordinate systems for the first two observers can be chosen independently from those in the first term. From the point of view of experiment this means that the plane of observations for observables 1 and 2 can be different from the plane in which lie the observation direction 3 and 4.

Note further, that once (13) is satisfied for all possible planes of observations, then the state endowed with $\hat{T}$ is not capable to violate the new inequalities. However, if for given planes of observations (13) is satisfied, this only means that for such planes one cannot expect violations.

III. THE NECESSITY

We shall prove that the condition (13) is also a necessary one for the new inequalities to hold. To this end, we have to prove that the violation of (13) leads to the violation of the inequality (5). This can be done by showing that the maximum value of the left hand side of (5) is given by the left hand side of inequality (13).

The three qubit correlation tensor can be Schmidt decomposed into:

$$\hat{T} = \hat{P}_1 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_1 + \hat{P}_2 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_2 + \hat{P}_3 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_3, \quad (14)$$

where the three unit vectors $\vec{\gamma}_i$ form a basis set in R^3 and the (unnormalized) rank two tensors, also orthogonal to each other:

$$\hat{P}_i \circ \hat{P}_j = 0 \quad i \neq j. \quad (15)$$

We shall assume throughout that the rank two tensors are ordered by their indices in accordance with decreasing norms.

Let us define two new tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}' &= \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S'(s_1, s_2) a_{s_1} \vec{A}(s_1) \otimes b_{s_2} \vec{B}(s_2), \\ \hat{S}'' &= \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S''(s_1, s_2) a'_{s_1} \vec{A}'(s_1) \otimes b'_{s_2} \vec{B}'(s_2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Please note that since $\hat{S}'$ and $\hat{S}''$ are Schmidt decomposed itself; it is easy to see that their norm is 1, and is independent of $S'(s_1, s_2)$ and $S''(s_1, s_2)$. Inserting the decomposition of $\hat{T}$ given by Eq. (14) and definitions (16) into the left hand side of ineq. (11) one gets the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c_+ (\hat{P}_1 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_1 + \hat{P}_2 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_2 + \hat{P}_3 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_3) \circ \hat{S}' \otimes \vec{C}(+) \right. \\ & \left. \pm c_- (\hat{P}_1 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_1 + \hat{P}_2 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_2 + \hat{P}_3 \otimes \vec{\gamma}_3) \circ \hat{S}'' \otimes \vec{C}(-) \right| \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

If one specifies the vectors $\vec{C}(\pm)$ so that $\vec{\gamma}_1 = \vec{C}(+)$, $\vec{\gamma}_2 = \vec{C}(-)$ then the value of the left hand side expression is given by

$$c_+ \hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}' + c_- \hat{P}_2 \circ \hat{S}'', \quad (18)$$

and it is easy to see from the properties of Schmidt decomposition that the modulus of this expression is the maximal possible value of (17). One can interpret the expression (18) as the scalar product in a two dimensional vector space. The vectors under consideration are: $(c_+, \pm c_-)$ and $(\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}', \hat{P}_2 \circ \hat{S}'')$. Since the coefficients $c_{\pm}$ are arbitrary and the norm of the first vector is equal to 1, the maximum value of the expression is given by:

$$\sqrt{(\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}')_{max}^2 + (\hat{P}_2 \circ \hat{S}'')_{max}^2} \quad (19)$$

Since $\hat{S}'$ and $\hat{S}''$ are independent, the maximum of this expression is reached when both terms are maximal. Let us focus on the maximum possible value of $\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}'$. The Schmidt decomposition of P_1 can be written as

$$\hat{P}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i \vec{v}_i \otimes \vec{w}_i. \quad (20)$$

All the unit vectors $\vec{v}_i$ are perpendicular to each other (and so are $\vec{w}_i$'s), and real numbers r_i are ordered as follows: $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3$. Thus

$$\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}' = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i \vec{v}_i \otimes \vec{w}_i \circ \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} S'(s_1, s_2) a_{s_1} \vec{A}(s_1) \otimes b_{s_2} \vec{B}(s_2). \quad (21)$$

The following question arises: how can we choose the sign function S' to maximize above expression? Note that factorizable $S(s_1, s_2)$ can lead to maximum only when $\hat{P}_1$ is factorable itself. Let us put the case aside for a while. Thus we put $S'(s_1, s_2)$ to be non-factorable, e.g. $S'(+1, +1) = S'(+1, -1) = S'(-1, +1) = -S'(-1, -1)$. Assume that $S(+1, +1) = 1$, in such a case we can write $\hat{S}'$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}' &= a_+ \vec{A}(+) \otimes b_+ \vec{B}(+) + a_+ \vec{A}(+) \otimes b_- \vec{B}(-) \\ &+ a_- \vec{A}(-) \otimes b_+ \vec{B}(+) - a_- \vec{A}(-) \otimes b_- \vec{B}(-) \\ &= a_+ \vec{A}(+) \otimes \{b_+ \vec{B}(+) + b_- \vec{B}(-)\} + a_- \vec{A}(-) \otimes \{b_+ \vec{B}(+) - b_- \vec{B}(-)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now expression (21) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}' &= (r_1 \vec{v}_1 \otimes \vec{w}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2 \otimes \vec{w}_2 + r_3 \vec{v}_3 \otimes \vec{w}_3) \\ &\circ (a_+ \vec{A}(+) \otimes \{b_+ \vec{B}(+) + b_- \vec{B}(-)\} + a_- \vec{A}(-) \otimes \{b_+ \vec{B}(+) - b_- \vec{B}(-)\}). \end{aligned}$$

We can utilize the fact that $b_+ \vec{B}(+) \pm b_- \vec{B}(-)$ are unit vectors. Because the term with the coefficient r_3 is the smallest in the Schmidt decomposition of $\hat{P}_1$, and $\hat{S}'$ has been put to a form in which it has only two terms, thus to maximize the whole scalar product we should make two the vectors $b_+ \vec{B}(+) + b_- \vec{B}(-)$ and $b_+ \vec{B}(+) - b_- \vec{B}(-)$ perpendicular, by e.g. putting $b_+ = b_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and ignore the smallest term in $\hat{P}_1$. Let us denote:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{b} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{B}(+) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{B}(-), \\ \vec{b}_\perp &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{B}(+) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{B}(-). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get:

$$\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}' = (r_1 \vec{v}_1 \otimes \vec{w}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2 \otimes \vec{w}_2) \circ (a_+ \vec{A}(+) \otimes \vec{b} + a_- \vec{A}(-) \otimes \vec{b}_\perp). \quad (22)$$

The maximum is attainable for $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{A}(+)$, $\vec{v}_2 = \vec{A}(-)$, $\vec{w}_1 = \vec{b}$ and $\vec{w}_2 = \vec{b}_\perp$, and $r_1/a_+ = r_2/a_-$. Since all above vectors are normalized the maximum value of (22) is:

$$(\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}')_{max} = \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1,2} T_{ij2}^2}. \quad (23)$$

The local coordinates of the correlation tensor are such that for the first observer they are defined by a basis set containing $\vec{A}(+)$ and $\vec{A}(-)$, for the second observer, the basis set contains $\vec{b}$ and $\vec{b}_\perp$, and for third one $\vec{\gamma}_1$ and $\vec{\gamma}_2$. It is easy to see that the case of factorable $\hat{P}_1$ can be dealt with by taking the limit of $r_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If one writes the Schmidt decomposition of $\hat{P}_2$ and takes into account that the vectors $\vec{A}'(\pm)$ and $\vec{B}'(\pm)$ can be chosen totally independently from $\vec{A}(\pm)$ and $\vec{B}(\pm)$, then by making analogical steps as for $\hat{P}_1 \circ \hat{S}'$ one gets:

$$(\hat{P}_2 \circ \hat{S}'')_{max} = \sqrt{\sum_{k',l'=1,2} T_{k'l'1}^2}. \quad (24)$$

After inserting the last two equations into (19) one obtains the necessary condition for the inequality (5) to hold:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1,2} T_{ij2}^2 + \sum_{k',l'=1,2} T_{k'l'1}^2} \leq 1. \quad (25)$$

One immediately sees that this condition is identical with the sufficient one. Thus it is the necessary and sufficient one for violation of the Bell inequality (5).

This condition gives more stringent constraints on local realistic description of quantum predictions than the sufficient condition for the standard inequalities [10] to hold:

$$\sum_{k,l,m=1,2} T_{klm}^2 = \sum_{k,l=1,2} T_{kl1}^2 + \sum_{k,l=1,2} T_{kl2}^2 \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

The condition (13) is more restrictive, because the planes of observations for the first two observers do not have to be the same in both terms (in contradistinction with the middle expression of ineq.(26)).

IV. EXAMPLES

For example consider the generalized GHZ state:

$$|\psi\rangle = \cos \alpha |000\rangle + \sin \alpha |111\rangle, \quad (27)$$

where $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the eigenvectors of the σ_z operator. There is a range $\alpha \in [0, \pi/12]$ within which $|\psi\rangle$ satisfies all standard correlation function Bell inequalities [6, 7], but violates the multisetting Bell inequalities [11, 12]. The non vanishing components of the correlation tensor $T_{ijk} = \langle \psi | \sigma_{x_i}^{(1)} \sigma_{x_j}^{(2)} \sigma_{x_k}^{(3)} | \psi \rangle$ with $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$, for this state are: $T_{333} = \cos 2\alpha$, $T_{111} = \sin 2\alpha$, $T_{221} = T_{212} = T_{122} = -\sin 2\alpha$. Therefore the condition (13) can be put in the following form

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2} T_{ij2}^2 + \sum_{k',l'=1,3} T_{k'l'3}^2 = T_{212}^2 + T_{122}^2 + T_{3'3'3}^2. \quad (28)$$

By putting the actual values of these parameters one gets:

$$T_{212}^2 + T_{122}^2 + T_{3'3'3}^2 = T_{212}^2 + T_{122}^2 + T_{333}^2 = 1 + \sin^2 2\alpha > 1. \quad (29)$$

That is, the generalized GHZ state violates the inequality (5) for the whole range of α , in contradistinction with the standard case [7].

V. $3 \times 3 \times 2$ CASE

In [12] methods of generation of different type inequalities (than $4 \times 4 \times 2$) were shown. One can find there the following Bell inequality

$$4 \left| \sum_{m=1,2} E_{11m} \right| + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{k,l=3,4} \sum_{m=1,2} s_1^{k-1} s_2^{l-1} (-1)^{m-1} E_{klm} \right| \leq 8. \quad (30)$$

It involves only three settings for the first two observers. The condition for quantum correlations to satisfy this inequality reads

$$4 \left| \hat{T} \circ \vec{n}_{A_1} \otimes \vec{n}_{B_1} \otimes \sum_{k=1,2} \vec{n}_{C_k} \right| + \sum_{s_1, s_2 = \pm 1} \left| \hat{T} \circ \sum_{i=3,4} s_1^{i-1} \vec{n}_{A_i} \otimes \sum_{j=3,4} s_2^{j-1} \vec{n}_{B_j} \otimes \sum_{k=1,2} (-1)^{k-1} \vec{n}_{C_k} \right| \leq 8. \quad (31)$$

As earlier, one can introduce new vectors:

$$2a_1 \vec{A}_1 = \vec{n}_{A_3} - \vec{n}_{A_4}, \quad (32)$$

$$2a_2 \vec{A}_2 = \vec{n}_{A_3} + \vec{n}_{A_4}, \quad (33)$$

$$\vec{A}_3' = \vec{n}_{A_1}. \quad (34)$$

The prime over the third vector is put in order to indicate that it does not have to be orthogonal to the other two. Analogously we define new three vectors on Bob's side, and for the third party:

$$2c_2 \vec{C}_2 = \vec{n}_{C_1} - \vec{n}_{C_2}, \quad (35)$$

$$2c_3 \vec{C}_3 = \vec{n}_{C_1} + \vec{n}_{C_2}. \quad (36)$$

Therefore the components of the correlation tensor with respect to these vectors must satisfy

$$|c_3 T_{3'3'3}| + \sum_{k,l=1,2} |a_k b_l c_2 T_{kl2}| \leq 1, \quad (37)$$

where e.g. $T_{3'3'3} = \hat{T} \circ \vec{A}_3' \otimes \vec{B}_3' \otimes \vec{C}_3'$. Employing twice the Cauchy inequality one immediately sees that the condition to satisfy (30) is

$$T_{3'3'3}^2 + \sum_{k,l=1,2} T_{kl2}^2 \leq 1. \quad (38)$$

Please notice here the very interesting feature: the coefficient 4 present in (30) and (31) has disappeared! The tensor components enter (38) with equal weight.

It is easy to show that for the generalized GHZ states the value of the expression (38) can be for any $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{12}$ equal to $1 + \sin^2 2\alpha > 1$.

VI. $4 \times 4 \times \dots \times 4 \times 2$ INEQUALITY

The $4 \times 4 \times \dots \times 4 \times 2$ inequality for N particle systems introduced in [12] reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s_1 \dots s_{N-1} = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} = 1, 2} s_1^{i_1-1} \dots s_{N-1}^{i_{N-1}-1} (-1)^{i_{N-1}} E_{i_1 \dots i_N} \right| \\ & + \sum_{s_1 \dots s_{N-1} = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N-1} = 3, 4} \sum_{k_N = 1, 2} s_1^{k_1-1} \dots s_{N-1}^{k_{N-1}-1} E_{k_1 \dots k_N} \right| \leq 2^N. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

After the same procedure as for $4 \times 4 \times 2$ (special case of this more general one) one gets the necessary condition to satisfy this inequality:

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} = 1, 2} T_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} 1}^2 + \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N-1} = 1, 3} T_{k_1 \dots k_{N-1} 2}^2 \leq 1. \quad (40)$$

This condition can be violated by all N particle generalized GHZ states: $\cos \alpha |00\dots 0\rangle + \sin \alpha |11\dots 1\rangle$. Consider the odd number of particles. The non vanishing components of the correlation tensor of these states are:

- $T_{3\dots 3} = \cos 2\alpha$,
- $T_{1\dots 1} = \sin 2\alpha$,
- components with $2k$ indices equal to 2 and the rest to 1 (e.g., for $N = 3$, T_{122} , etc., for $N = 5$, T_{11122}, T_{12222} , etc.) have the value of $(-1)^k \sin 2\alpha$.

Take tensors T and T' in (40) in the same bases. In such a case one has

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} = 1, 2} T_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} 2}^2 + \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N-1} = 1, 3} T_{k_1 \dots k_{N-1} 3}^2 \quad (41)$$

$$= \cos^2 2\alpha + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{(N-1)/2} \binom{N-1}{2i-1} \right] \sin^2 2\alpha \quad (42)$$

$$= \cos^2 2\alpha + 2^{N-2} \sin^2 \alpha = 1 + (2^{N-2} - 1) \sin^2 2\alpha > 1. \quad (43)$$

The correlation tensor for even particle generalized GHZ states differs from the one above only in the component $T_{3\dots 3}$ which is always equal to 1. All such states violate the new inequalities.

VII. MORE EXAMPLES

The following examples illustrate the usefulness of the new inequalities.

A. $|W\rangle$ states

For the $|W\rangle$ states the new inequalities are stronger than the standard inequalities. For example three particle $|W\rangle$ state violates the standard inequalities by the factor 1.5229 whereas for the new inequalities the factor is equal to 1.5275.

Generally N qubit $|W\rangle$ state's non vanishing correlation tensor components are: $T_{z\dots z} = 1$; $T_{xxz\dots z} = -2/N$ ($\binom{N}{2}$ elements, therein: $\binom{N-2}{2}$ elements $T_{xxz\dots z\mathbf{z}}$ and $N-1$ elements $T_{xxz\dots z\mathbf{x}}$); $T_{yyz\dots z} = -2/N$ ($\binom{N}{2}$ elements, therein: $\binom{N-2}{2}$ elements $T_{yyz\dots z\mathbf{z}}$ and $N-1$ elements $T_{yyz\dots z\mathbf{y}}$). The maximal value of the left hand side of (40) is given by

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} = \{x, z\}} T_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} \mathbf{z}}^2 + \sum_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} = \{y, z\}} T_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1} \mathbf{y}}^2 \quad (44)$$

$$= 1 + \binom{N-1}{2} (2/N)^2 + (N-1)(2/N)^2 = 3 - 2/N \quad (45)$$

Therefore the factor by which the $4 \times \dots \times 4 \times 2$ inequalities are violated is $\sqrt{3 - 2/N}$.

Thus if one considers noise admixture to the $|W\rangle$ states, in such a form that one has a mixed state $\rho_{|W\rangle} = (1 - V)\rho_{noise} + V|W\rangle\langle W|$, with $\rho_{noise} = \hat{1}/2^N$, then the new inequalities show that for $V \geq 1/\sqrt{3 - 2/N}$ there is no local realistic description for the correlation functions.

This should be compared with the identical threshold for the standard inequalities [13], which is only sufficient for them to hold. However, it is not equal to the necessary one. This clearly illustrates the advantage of the new inequalities.

B. A four photon state

The next interesting example is the state reads [8]:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{1/3}(|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(|1010\rangle + |0101\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1001\rangle)), \quad (46)$$

Its non vanishing correlation tensor components are:

$$T_{xxxx} = T_{yyyy} = T_{zzzz} = 1, \quad (47)$$

$$T_{xxyy} = T_{xxzz} = T_{yyxx} = T_{yyzz} = T_{zzxx} = T_{zzyy} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad (48)$$

$$T_{xzzx} = T_{zzxx} = T_{xxzx} = T_{zzxz} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad (49)$$

$$T_{xyxy} = T_{xyyx} = T_{yxxy} = T_{yxyx} = T_{yzzy} = T_{zyzy} = T_{zyyz} = T_{zyzy} = -\frac{2}{3}. \quad (50)$$

Since $\sqrt{\sum_{ijk=\{x,z\}} T_{ijk\mathbf{z}}^2 + \sum_{ijk=\{y,z\}} T_{ijk\mathbf{y}}^2}$ is equal to 2 the $4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 2$ inequalities are violated by a factor of 2. For the standard inequalities the maximal violation is equal to only 1.8856.

VIII. $2^{N-1} \times 2^{N-1} \times 2^{N-2} \times 2^{N-3} \times \dots \times 2$ CASE

The last type of inequalities presented in [12] is $2^{N-1} \times 2^{N-1} \times 2^{N-2} \times 2^{N-3} \times \dots \times 2$. The necessary condition for violation of the Bell inequality is relatively easy to obtain, with the use of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. The equivalent sufficient condition for violation can also be obtained by using Schmidt decomposition in the way presented earlier. Simply the problem of maximization of the Bell expressions with rank N tensor can be split into problems considering lower rank tensors. Eventually one gets to the problem with rank 2 tensors which, in fact, was solved in [14].

W.L. and T.P. are supported by the UG grant BW/5400-5-0256-3 and Stypendium FNP. M.Ż. is supported by the Subsydium Profesorskie FNP.

-
- [1] D.M. Greenberger, M.A. Horne and A. Zeilinger, in *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe*, Ed. M. Kafatos (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989).
 - [2] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 770-80 (1935).
 - [3] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1838 (1990); M. Ardehali, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 5375 (1992); A. V. Belinskii and D. N. Klyshko, Phys. Usp. **36**, 653 (1993).
 - [4] M. Żukowski, Phys. Lett. A, **177**, 290 (1993).
 - [5] M. Żukowski, D. Kaszlikowski, Phys. Rev. A **56**, R1682 (1997).
 - [6] V. Scarani and N. Gisin, J. Phys. A **34**, 6043-6053 (2001).
 - [7] M. Żukowski, Č. Brukner, W. Laskowski and M. Wieśniak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 210402 (2002).
 - [8] H. Weinfurter and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 010102(R) (2001).
 - [9] R. F. Werner and M. W. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 032112 (2001).
 - [10] M. Żukowski and Č. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 210401 (2002).
 - [11] X.-H. Wu and H.-S. Zong, Phys. Lett. A **307**, 262-264 (2003).
 - [12] Č. Brukner, W. Laskowski, T. Paterek, and M. Żukowski, e-print quant-ph/0303187.
 - [13] A. Sen(De), U. Sen, M. Wieśniak, D. Kaszlikowski, and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. A, in press, e-print quant-ph/0211023.
 - [14] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **200**, 340-344 (1995).